FILED

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

NOV 26 2007

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

CATHY A. CATTERSON, CLERK U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

ALFONSO RAMIRO CHAVEZ BONILLA,

Petitioner,

٧.

MICHAEL B. MUKASEY, Attorney General,

Respondent.

No. 06-72383

Agency No. A95-300-957

MEMORANDUM*

On Petition for Review of an Order of the Board of Immigration Appeals

Submitted November 13, 2007 **

Before: TROTT, W. FLETCHER and CALLAHAN, Circuit Judges.

Alfonso Ramiro Chavez Bonilla petitions for review of the Board of Immigration Appeals' (BIA) order denying petitioner's fifth motion to reconssider

^{*} This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

^{**} The panel unanimously finds this case suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

the denial of his application for cancellation of removal. The regulations provide that motions to reconsider shall be limited to one motion in any case previously the subject of a final decision by the BIA. *See* 8 C.F.R. 1003.2b)(2). Because petitioner filed his fifth motion to reconsider, the BIA did not abuse its discretion in denying the motion to reconsider for exceeding numerical limitations.

We lack jurisdiction to review the Immigration Judge's discretionary determination that Bonilla failed to show exceptional and extremely unusual hardship to a qualifying relative. *See Romero-Torres v. Ashcroft*, 327 F.3d 887, 890 (9th Cir.2003).

PETITION FOR REVIEW DENIED in part, DISMISSED in part.